

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 15, 2003

LB 320, 760

which you've been intimately involved. Senator Chambers. But there's no portion here relating to the increased judges contribution that was in the retirement bill. And that will all be reconciled at the Enrollment and Review process.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So what, relative to judicial retirement, will remain in this bill after the reconciliation done by E & R?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: The \$4.00 increase in the judges retirement portion, so that the \$5.00 judges retirement fund fee will continue to be called that.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And everything else will be stricken?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: And will be in LB 320. That's my understanding.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. The only reason I'm saying it, I don't want us to have E & R doing, by way of an E & R amendment, what maybe we should do as a Legislature, since substantive language in the bill is involved. So...

SENATOR BRASHEAR: I understand. And I'm deferring to what we're being technically advised is the correct thing, Senator Chambers. That...but we are on General File. And I'll have an opportunity to pursue this further. I know that you have a desired amendment that the body would need to consider either on this or on the...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: ...judicial retirement bill. And we can deal with this additional issue at that time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. But the main point I want now, and I want to get it into the record, is that I don't want E & R to make substantive changes in a bill based on what we say on the floor. If we should have an amendment to strike language from the bill, that's what I would want us to do, and not leave it to E & R to make a substantive decision. For example, we said something in a bill today. There is another bill that deals